

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,959	9,959 11/21/2003		Paul J. Flanningan	59010US002	3577	
32692	7590 (07/25/2006		E	KAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427				PAT	PATEL, NIHIR B	
ST. PAUL, M	N 55133-342	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ST

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/719,959	FLANNINGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nihir Patel	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05.04.2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 23 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 23 depends from claim 23. Therefore, it is being objected as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 13, 14, 16-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gleason et al. (US 6,016,804) in view of Resnick (US 6,701,925).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959

Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

5. Referring to claims 1-4, 13, 14, 16-19, 22 and 23, Gleason discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a fluid communication component that is separately from the supporting portion of the face-piece insert. Resneck discloses an apparatus that does provide a fluid communication component that is separately from the supporting portion of the face-piece insert (see figure 7). Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Gleason's invention by providing a fluid communication component that is separately from the supporting portion of the face-piece insert as taught by Resneck so that it is impervious to fluid flow.

- 6. Referring to claims 5-7, 9-12, 15 and 20, close reading of the applicant's specification reveals that these components have always been close tolerance or critical elements therefore applicant's designation of critical element does not carry any patentable weight. It should be noted that applicant's specification alludes to separate manufacturing of critical elements and non-critical elements (prior to assembly) emphasis added as the novel aspect of the applicant's invention.
- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gleason et al. (US 6,016,804) in view of Freund (US 5,592,937).
- 8. Referring to claim 8, Gleason discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a fluid communication component that is made from similar polymeric materials. Freund discloses a respirator mask with stiffening elements that does provide a fluid communication component that is made from similar polymeric materials. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Gleason's invention by providing a fluid communication

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959

Art Unit: 3743

component that is made from similar polymeric materials as taught by Freund in order to properly fit the user's face.

Page 4

- 9. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gleason et al. (US 6,016,804) in view of Fecteau et al. (US 6,497,232).
- 10. Referring to claim 21, Gleason discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of using the fusing process to assemble the supporting portion to the fluid communication component. Fecteau discloses a respirator headpiece and release mechanism that states that any process may be used to assemble the supporting portion to the fluid communication component (see column 4 lines 1-10). Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Gleason's invention by using the fusing process to assemble the supporting portion to the fluid communication component as taught by Fecteau in order to prevent the user from breathing in bad air.
- 11. Referring to claims 8 and 21, a close reading of the applicant's specification (page 7 lines 15-25) reveals that the applicant has not established any criticality on why the supporting portion and the fluid communication component must be fused together and therefore it would be obvious to one in the ordinary skill of the art to use the fusing process or any other process as stated by Feteasu to assemble the two component.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nihir Patel Art Unit 3743

> nenry βenneπ Supervisor Parent Examiner

Page 5